# Performance Indicators Workshop

Martina Moloney Chair, Performance Indicator Subgroup of NOAC.

# Outline of presentation

- Background/Context
- Role of NOAC
- Aims in relation to Indicators
- Validation and Verification
- Review of Indicators
- Aims for 2016 Indicator process

### National Oversight and Audit Commission

- Statutory Body
- Independent
- Remit: To Review:
  - Performance generally and by individual authorities by reference to performance indicators (which the Commission considers it appropriate to refer to or which the Minister may specify in regulations)
  - Financial performance and value for money,
  - Identification and dissemination of best practice,
  - Adherence to Service Level Agreements (SLA).
  - Delivery of national policy and national policy objectives,
  - Implementation of public sector reform and efficiency measures,
  - Monitoring the adequacy and delivery of corporate plans,
  - Preparation of reports for relevant Ministers and on its own initiative.

## **NOAC and Performance Indicators**

- Section 126C of the Local Government Reform Act 2014 sets out the functions of the National Oversight and Audit Commission (NOAC), including:
  - The scrutiny of the performance of local government bodies against relevant indicators (including indicators relating to customer service) that the Commission considers it appropriate to refer to.
- LGMA role
  - the collection of the data
  - the compilation of the Tables and Commentary
  - Presentation of Data
- NOAC aim:
  - A smaller set of performance focussed indicators,
  - An emphasis on financial performance and customer service,
  - Keep them fresh and respond to change
  - Limit amendments to maintain comparability year on year.
- Learning from interaction on site visits, submissions received and items identified in the more detailed studies undertaken by NOAC eg Housing

## Considerations on relation to the Indicators

#### • Aim of indicators

- provide information on performance for each individual authority
- compare outputs/outcomes across the various local authorities.
- Requires: a common approach to measurement and benchmarking
- Local authorities use information to improve the services they provide to local communities.
- Scope to **develop and enhance best practice** in the performance by local government bodies
- Benchmarking aids understanding of why councils vary in terms of performance.
- By making the information publicly available, **citizens and service users can hold councils to account** in relation to what is achieved on their behalf.
- It is NOAC's objective to develop more qualitative performance indicators that are focussed on **outcome**s as well as **inputs and outputs**.
- Recognise that **local authorities vary** in terms of their client base, scale, resource base, geography and topography, which may impact on service outputs/outcomes.
- Option to group authorities for comparative purposes?
- **Recognise the broader economic and financial and policy context** within which local authorities operate.

## NOAC Indicator Report Recommendations

- NOAC recommended that –
- **Targets** be established by local authorities related to the indicators identified by NOAC and outturns be reviewed against those targets
- The targets and indicator outcomes be presented to local authority management teams, elected members and Audit Committees
- Year-on-year performance based on indicators, by reference to comparable authorities and against targets, be reviewed at least once annually by local authority management teams, elected members and Audit Committees.
- Comparable authorities analyse the cost indicators to identify the factors underlying significant variations in performance, ensure that value for money is being achieved and facilitate the sharing of approaches to efficiency measures.

### Indicator Process – review of 2015

- New System for data gathering
- Data Quality Improvement
- Data gathering from other agencies to avoid duplication
- Earlier publication
- Improved Presentation of data graphs etc.
- Some amendments required.
- Some data quality issues remain.

# 2016 Approach

- Some amendments(Sheila will cover)
- Local Authority role in data provision, which should include <u>verification</u> for accuracy!
- NOAC Validation/Verification Process
  - Provide background material, contexts to increase understanding
  - Local targets which Councils were asked to establish
  - Use of presentation material
  - Feedback from Audit Committee and Council review.
- Publication: Target to publish Q 3

# Aims for 2016 indicator report

- Indicator Selection Iterative process
- Workshop to improve clarity of requirements
- NOAC aim:
  - Timely information provision
  - Timely validation
  - Timely reporting and publication
  - Indicators are useful and used to encourage improved performance
- We need your help to achieve!